

**Rating Criteria and Application of Criteria**

	<b><u>Maximum Points</u></b>												
1. Type of space represented by the project:	up to 30												
<table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="width: 80%;"></th> <th style="width: 20%; text-align: center;"><b><u>Points by Type of Space</u></b></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>a. Instruction, Library Research, Infrastructure</td> <td style="text-align: center;">30</td> </tr> <tr> <td>b. Academic Support</td> <td style="text-align: center;">20</td> </tr> <tr> <td>c. Student Services</td> <td style="text-align: center;">15</td> </tr> <tr> <td>d. Institutional Support</td> <td style="text-align: center;">10</td> </tr> <tr> <td>e. Non-E&amp;G</td> <td style="text-align: center;">00</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		<b><u>Points by Type of Space</u></b>	a. Instruction, Library Research, Infrastructure	30	b. Academic Support	20	c. Student Services	15	d. Institutional Support	10	e. Non-E&G	00	
	<b><u>Points by Type of Space</u></b>												
a. Instruction, Library Research, Infrastructure	30												
b. Academic Support	20												
c. Student Services	15												
d. Institutional Support	10												
e. Non-E&G	00												

**Application:**

- Points are assigned based on the percentage of proposed use

2. The degree to which the proposed project addresses the deferred maintenance needs as defined and included in the CHE’s most recent Study of Deferred Maintenance.	up to 25
--	----------

**Application:**

- Points are assigned based on the respective facilities scores in the study.

<u>Facility Score</u>	<u>Points Assigned</u>
90-100	10
80-89	15
70-79	20
Less than 70	25
Infrastructure Project	25
Not addressed in the study	0

3. Documentation that the institution meets:	up to 25
--	----------

- A. efficiency rating based on space utilization for instructional purposes; and
- B. Guidelines for Assignable Square Feet (ASF) of academic space per FTE or
- C. ASF of research space per \$ of research expenditures.

*Note: Application of Criterion 3 may be waived and the full 25 points awarded for Libraries if the institution has received a negative accreditation recommendation and scores less than 25 points; or if the project is a required historical project and the institution scores less than 25 points.*

**Application:**

- Efficiency rating<sup>1</sup> : a space utilization factor at or below the space factor guideline of 1.22 will generate 12.5 points.

---

<sup>1</sup> Does not apply to MUSC or the USC School of Medicine, up to 25 points are allocated by ASF/Research Expenditures only.

For space utilization factors above the 1.22, points will be deducted from the 12.5 maximum for part A on a percentage basis.

- Growth Rating: Research Institutions – at or below 9,000 ASF per \$1,000,000 of restricted research expenditures = 12.5 points; for Teaching Institutions – at or below 93 ASF of Academic Space per FTE = 12.5 points; and for two-year institutions – at or below 70 ASF per FTE = 12.5 points. For institutions above the guidelines, points will be deducted from the 12.5 maximum on a percentage basis.

4. Documentation that all reasonable alternatives to the project have been considered, that the project represents the best long-term resolution of the problem, and that the total estimated cost, including each component, can be documented as realistic. up to 10

**Application:**

- Institutional/external documentation, and project has score of 80 or less in in deferred maintenance study – 10 points
- Project is infrastructure or mechanical repair/replacement (etc) – 10 points
- Internal/external documentation, and project has score greater than 80 in deferred maintenance study, was not addressed in study, or significant deterioration since study – 7 points

5. Documentation that the space programmed for the proposed project is based on the application of objective space planning guidelines (i.e., Space Planning Guidelines for Public Colleges and Universities, CHE, revised 1997). up to 10

**Application:**

- Institutional/external documentation provided - 10 points
- Infrastructure/Repair/Replacement (mechanical) - 10 points
- Not addressed – 0 points

**Total** up to 100

Extra Points: Health and Safety Issues up to 10

**Application:**

- Documentation through external reports (CHE consultants, institutional consultants, specialized accreditation reports, CHE staff evaluation, etc.) that existing space is unsatisfactory and/or unsuitable in terms of quality or quantity because of health and/or safety concerns – 10 points
- Documentation by the institution without external documentation (66 percent of available points, rounded up) – 7 points
- Not applicable or not addressed – 0 points

**Grand Total** up to 110

Examples of Health and Safety Concerns:

Documented Health Concerns

Exposure to asbestos or other harmful substances; documented problems associated with air quality, etc.

Documented Safety Concerns

Threat of physical danger associated with condition of the facility; life/safety issues (egress, fire-code compliance, etc.)

**RATING PROCESS**

- Institutions may determine the priority of their respective projects through the CPIP submission process.
- Institutions will provide the appropriate documentation required by the rating criteria for all of the projects they choose to have included in the process. If appropriate documentation for one or more of the criteria has already been included in the original submission, the institution will not have to resubmit the documentation. However, institutions should submit any additional documentation that they believe would assist in determining that a criterion has been met.
- Library Exemption: application of Criterion 3 may be waived for library projects responding to recommendations from an accrediting body and where the institution scored fewer than 25 points. For these projects, the full 25 points will apply.
- Required Historical Facility Exemption: application of Criterion 3 may be waived for projects which are uninhabitable and unsafe for use which the institution is required to maintain because of historical status.
- Because legislative requests have specifically stated that safety concerns should be a primary criterion, up to an additional 10 points may be assigned to projects that address specific documented health and/or safety needs.
- CHE staff will determine if the projects have met the basic criteria for rating, and the degree to which the criteria have been met.
- Scores will be assigned up to the maximum number of points for each criterion.
- Scores for all criteria will be totaled for a single comprehensive score for each project.