

Rev. September 1998

Revised 9-16-02: Policy #21 added

— Addition to Accreditation Section

Revised 6-28-05 Time-line Chart for New & Modified Program Proposals

Attachment 1

**GUIDELINES FOR NEW ACADEMIC PROGRAM APPROVAL**

Rev. July 2007

**PROPOSED**

**POLICIES AND PROCEDURES FOR NEW ACADEMIC PROGRAM APPROVAL AND PROGRAM TERMINATION**

	TABLE OF CONTENTS	
		<u>Page #</u>
SECTION I.	Introduction	2
SECTION II.	Definitions	3
SECTION III.	Policies	6
	A) General Policies	6
	B) Off-site Delivery of Existing Programs	<del>9</del> 10
	C) Notification Policy <u>for Program Changes</u>	<del>10</del> 11
	<del>D) Strategic Planning Policy</del> D) Program Termination Policy	<del>10</del> 12
	<del>E) Collaboration with Out-of-State Institutions</del> E) Strategic Planning Policy	<del>11</del> 12
SECTION IV.	Procedures	<del>11</del> 13
	<del>Timeline Chart</del> Approval Steps	<del>16</del> 13
	<u>Timeline Chart</u>	<u>18</u>
APPENDICES		
	A) Program Proposal Format	<del>17</del> 19
	B) Advisory Committee on Academic Programs	<del>27</del> 30
	C) Notification Forms	<del>28-29</del> 32

Style Definition: Heading 1: Outline numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: I, II, III, ... + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0" + Tab after: 1" + Indent at: 0"

Style Definition: Heading 2: Indent: Left: 0.75", Hanging: 0.25", Outline numbered + Level: 2 + Numbering Style: 01, 02, 03, ... + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0" + Tab after: 1" + Indent at: 0"

Formatted: Right: 0.88", Top: 0.75"

Formatted Table

Formatted Table

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted: Justified

Formatted: Font: Bold

## SECTION I

### Introduction

~~Program~~ New program approval is one of the important functions that a coordinating agency ~~is called upon to perform~~ performs. The essential nature of this function was recognized in the 1967 legislation creating the South Carolina Commission on Higher Education, which requires approval by the Commission or the General Assembly before any new program ~~may be~~ is implemented by a public institution of higher learning. It was reemphasized in Act 359 of 1996, which specifically mandated that the Commission "examine" the "curriculum offerings" of each public college and university in the state "and the respective relationships to services and offerings of other institutions." Act 359 also reaffirmed that "no new program may be undertaken by any public institution of higher learning without approval of the Commission."

The principal role of the Commission in program approval is to ~~take~~ provide a statewide viewpoint (and, in some cases, a regional or national viewpoint). In reviewing proposals for new programs or certain modifications to existing programs, the Commission seeks answers to the following five broad questions concerning each program:

What are the objectives of the proposed program?

Does the state need the program, and if so, are there alternative means of accomplishing the desired objectives?

Is the program compatible with the mission, role, and scope of the institution?

How much does the program cost?

Does the institution have the necessary personnel, facilities, library holdings, and other essentials necessary to conduct a program of high quality; and, if not, is there a plan for acquiring these essentials?

The Commission on Higher Education recognizes the sensitive nature of this responsibility. It also recognizes its obligation to assist the public institutions of the state in developing and maintaining programs of high quality while avoiding or reducing unnecessary program ~~duplication-of programs~~.

Moreover, the Commission believes that with the advent of distance learning technology and ~~national, if not~~ global, competition among higher education institutions,

Formatted: Font: Bold

institutional collaboration and acceptance of non-traditional methods for student learning are essential. For these reasons, the Commission strongly encourages collaboration between and among in-state, public institutions in the development/developing and offering ~~of~~ academic programs. Collaboration ensures to ensure a more efficient use of state resources and affords greater accessibility for students.

~~Also, the~~ The Commission encourages institutions to include, wherever appropriate, research experience, internships, cooperative education, and other work experiences in undergraduate programs ~~wherever appropriate~~. Graduates' employability is generally increased when practical as well as theoretical experiences are included in their undergraduate programs.

~~—The Commission's policies and procedures concerning the submission and review of proposed new programs, in effect since 1968, were last amended in September 1998, and are reproduced in this document.~~

Formatted: Justified

## SECTION II

### Definitions

**Academic discipline** refers to the major areas of study identified in the Classification of Instructional Programs (CIP), that is, the first four digits of the CIP code, developed by the National Center for Education Statistics; in 1990 and updated in 2000.

Accrediting agency refers to a national, regional, or special area accrediting body that has been approved by the Commission. A list of approved agencies can be found on the Commission website. In the instance where a proposed new program is accreditable by an agency that is not on the approved list, the institution can follow the CHE Guidelines for Approval of Specialized Accreditation Agencies, also located on the Commission website.

Formatted: Justified

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

**Administrative units** are commonly referred to as centers, bureaus, or institutes and are engaged in carrying out research, public service, or instruction, or any combination of the above as their primary purpose(s).

Certificate in a four-year institution refers to an organized series of courses, which is less than a degree program, offered for credit at either the undergraduate or graduate level of study for eligible students.

Formatted: Font: Bold

Collaborative Programs are programs with a lead institution that confers the degree but with one or more institutional partners who contribute courses, faculty or other resources.

**Degree program**, for purposes of Commission program approval, refers to a series of courses or activities that 1) lead to an associate, baccalaureate, master's, specialist, first professional, or doctoral degree or 2) lead to a certificate or a diploma totaling more than 18 credit hours at a senior institution. A program is commonly called a "major." Majors typically contain 30 – 40 credit hours. Degree programs are designated by a specific six-digit CIP code. Commission approval is required for all degree programs as defined herein.

Formatted: Justified

**Distance education** is coursework delivered by electronic means, whether satellite transmission, Internet, fiber optics technology, CD ROM, videotape, or other specified technology; that occurs at a ~~different~~ place other than where the instructor is located or at a ~~different~~ time other than when the instructor teaches the class.

~~"Fifty percent" rule is defined as the offering of 50 percent or more of the coursework applicable to an already approved degree program at a different site over a period of three years for associate, baccalaureate, and master's programs and of five years for doctoral programs. If an institution desires their respective contributions to offer 50 percent or more of an approved program off site via traditional instruction, Commission program modification approval is required. Extension of any or all of an approved program to additional sites solely via distance education (see above definition) does not require Commission review and approval. However, in these cases, courses, faculty, or other resources. The degree may be conferred by one or more institutions must notify the Commission of program implementation as stipulated by the Notification Policy (see page 10).~~

**Minors** represent a series of courses related by discipline and focus outside the major (typically 6-7 courses). Course coding for the minor cannot be from the same six-digit CIP code as the major. Commission approval for minors is not required.

New **degree programs** are:

- 1) those offerings in any academic degree program concluding with the conferral of a degree at any level in any field or major not previously offered ~~including certificates in any field or major not previously offered that total more than 18 credit hours (excepting diploma or certificate programs offered by the technical colleges).~~ (Note: certificates offered;

Formatted: Font: Bold

~~2) courses constituting 50 percent or more of a program of study not previously approved by senior institutions that total 18 or fewer credit hours in a field the Commission offered on-campus or major off-site by any instructional modality within a three-year period for which the institution is not approved certificate, associate's, baccalaureate, specialist, or certificates of any duration that are offered in a field master's programs, or major for which the institution is already approved do not require Commission approval.) within a five-year period for doctoral programs;~~

Formatted: Justified

~~2)3) certificates in any field or major not previously offered that total more than 18 credit hours (excepting diploma or certificate programs offered by the technical colleges);~~

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

~~4) any program approved at one degree level (e.g., B.A.) that is moving to another level (e.g., M.A.);~~

~~5) any new center, bureau, or institute for which the institution requests/requires additional new appropriations from the state;~~

Formatted: Justified

~~6) new teacher certification programs including add-ons or endorsements; or~~

~~7) any existing program which changes in any way to a sufficient degree that a change in CIP code is required.~~

**Off-site delivery or off-site** ~~means~~ signifies offering coursework at one or more sites separate from the institution's main campus, either by distance education or by traditional instruction.

Formatted: Justified

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

**Options, concentrations, specializations, emphases, cognates and tracks** refer to a series of courses that display a distinctive curricular pattern within the major. ~~The Commission considers any such grouping of coursework that totals more than 18 credit hours either from the same six digit CIP code as the major or from a six digit CIP code different from the major to be a program modification requiring Commission review and approval. Options that total 18 or fewer credit hours of coursework do not require Commission review and approval. However, in these cases, institutions must adhere to the Commission's Notification Policy (see page 10).~~

**Program modifications** are:

- ~~1) the extension or transfer of an existing, approved program to a new site that is different from the location(s) or site(s) already authorized using the "50 percent" rule to determine when course offerings constitute a program; (note: extension of any or all of an approved program to additional sites~~

Formatted: Font: Bold

~~solely by distance education does not require Commission review and approval—see definition of Distance Education above);~~

- ~~1) the extension or transfer of an existing, approved program to a new site that is different from the location(s) or site(s) already authorized, including out-of-state or out-of-country sites, where instruction is delivered in primarily traditional format or in a combination of traditional and electronic (e.g., web, video, satellite, etc.) formats, where over 50% of the curriculum is offered at the new site(s) within a period of three years for certificate, associate, baccalaureate, specialist, master's and first professional programs or within a period of five years for doctoral programs. [Note: this provision does not apply to programs where 100% of the curriculum is distance-delivered in electronic formats. (c.f. IIB, p. 9-10)]~~
- 2) addition of new concentrations, tracks, options, specializations, emphases, or cognates offered within an existing major that total more than 18 credit hours;
- 3) ~~revisions to existing majors where 50 percent substantive changes in program goal, purpose, or more of the total credit hours for the major changes target audience that do not require a change in the CIP code;~~
- 4) a change in the degree designation of a program when this change involves a significant shift in the program's purpose (e.g., M.A. to M.F.A., or M.S. to M.B.A., but not B.A. to B.S., M.A. to BS, MA to MSM.S., or A.A. to A.S.)
- 5) reconfiguration of a number of existing degrees into a single degree (e.g., B.A. in French, B.A. in German, B.A. in Spanish collapsed into a B.A. in Modern Languages)

**Program Notification** is the required notification to AS)the Commission of changes in existing programs that do not fall under the requirements for **Program Modification** (*Notification Form*, Appendix C). Program notification is required for program changes involving:

- 1) off-site delivery of existing programs that are delivered through electronic formats in their entirety;
- 2) awarding of certificates of 18 hours or fewer from baccalaureate-granting institutions;

Formatted: Justified

Formatted: Justified, Indent: Left: 0", First line: 0", Right: 0", Space Before: 0 pt, After: 0 pt, Tab stops: Not at 0.5"

Formatted: Font: Bold

3) program/major consolidation; or

4) changes of program title, **without** any change in objectives, purposes, substantive changes in curricula, or changes in CIP code.

**Site codes** are numerical codes that represent locations where coursework is offered by an institution, whether on-campus or at an off-site location. All coursework must be assigned a site code by the Commission as part of the Commission on Higher Education Management Information System (CHEMIS). Courses and programs offered through distance education are assigned a single distance education code.

Formatted: Justified

**Traditional instruction** refers to coursework that is offered by faculty who are physically present at the same site and at the same time as the students, whether the location is off-site or at the institution's main campus.

## SECTION III

### Policies

#### A. General Policies

1. All degree programs offered by any institution must have received appropriate Commission approval as stipulated by the policies and procedures in this manual. The benchmark for identifying authorized programs will be the Commission's *Inventory of Academic Degree Programs*.

Formatted: Justified, Indent: Left: 0.25", Hanging: 0.38", Tab stops: 0.63", Left + Not at 0.5"

~~2. All new degree programs, no matter the mode of delivery or location, require Commission approval.~~

~~3. Proposals for program modifications must meet the same guidelines and criteria as new program proposals. The Executive Director has approval authority for all program modifications, with final approval of appealed staff decisions resting with the Commission. All approval decisions regarding program modifications will be made within two months of approval of the final proposal by the Advisory Committee on Academic Programs.~~

~~4. No program may be publicized as an approved program in the catalog of any institution or in any other manner prior to approval of the program by the Commission.~~

Formatted: Font: Bold

- ~~5. The Commission does not require approval of the creation of new academic departments, schools, or colleges within existing institutions. However, institutions shall notify the Commission staff on a quarterly basis of any such changes.~~
- ~~6. Diploma and certificate programs offered by the state's technical colleges requiring less than two years to complete **do not** require Commission approval.~~
- ~~7. Certificate programs offered by senior institutions in a field or major in which the institution already possesses an approved degree program **do not** require Commission approval. Certificates in a field or major in which the institution **does not** possess an approved degree program require full Commission approval if they require more than 18 credit hours of coursework.~~
- ~~8. Compliance with the Commission's productivity standards for its existing programs will be considered in determining an institution's request to establish a new program. New program proposal requests should be approved by the Commission only if the proposal contains reasonable assurances that enrollment projections will meet the minimum standards for degree productivity.~~
- ~~9. In the case in which implementation of a proposed program entails new capital construction or substantial modifications of existing facilities, an appropriate request for Commission approval of such construction or modification must be submitted concurrently with the proposal for the new program.~~
- ~~10. All proposals to establish new doctoral programs must be accompanied by an evaluation from an out-of-state consultant analyzing the merits of the proposed program, its potential effect on existing programs, and the institution's readiness to support the proposed program. Also, colleges and universities should refer to the Commission's document *Priority Statements Relating to Offsite Doctoral Programs* for accepted best practices relating to doctoral programming.~~
- ~~11. An institution seeking approval to offer programs at levels above those which have been previously approved by the Commission is required to request of and receive from the Commission through its Academic Affairs Committee and related planning and study processes approval for a change in status (i.e., new level of degree offered) prior to submission of a program proposal at the new level.~~
- ~~12. New centers, bureaus, and institutes for which the institution requests additional new appropriations from the state require new program approval. Commission approval is **not required** for units where no additional new appropriation from~~

the state is requested or required. In these cases, institutions must still adhere to the Commission's Notification Policy (see page 10).

13. Changes of program title, **without** any change in objectives, purposes, or substantive changes in curricula, **do not** require Commission review and approval. In cases where review and approval is **not** required, institutions must notify the Commission in writing of proposed changes on a quarterly basis.
14. Deletion of any program, or of any academic school, department or college, does not require prior Commission approval, but notification of such changes shall be made to the Commission staff in writing on a quarterly basis.
15. As of September 1, 1999, all public institutions that offer State Board of Education approved school personnel preparation programs should be accredited by the National Council for the Accreditation of Teacher Education (NCATE). Therefore, all proposals for new school personnel preparation programs that are recommended for approval by the Commission will only be recommended with the proviso that NCATE accreditation be sought immediately upon Commission approval.
16. All teacher education programs should reflect prevailing state standards with respect to content and pedagogy (e.g., the South Carolina Curriculum Frameworks, other accountability legislation/policy) and should comply with relevant aspects of the Commission's Performance Funding process.
17. The staff of the State Department of Education will be notified and granted the opportunity to review all proposals for new programs related to school personnel preparation, including teacher education programs.
18. New program implementation may be deferred for up to three years by the institution following approval of the program. After that time, a new program proposal must be resubmitted and reauthorized if the institution wishes to implement the program.
19. Exceptions to the timeline for program approval may be made in justifiable emergency situations by the Director of Academic Affairs on behalf of the Committee on Academic Affairs.
20. In all situations where an institution offers, by either distance education or traditional instruction, any coursework at a site other than its main campus, the institution must notify the Commission of this delivery as part of its electronic

~~course data submission. The Commission will then assign an appropriate site code to the coursework in question.~~

- ~~21. For joint or collaborative programs, a Memorandum of Understanding that clearly delineates program responsibilities and fiscal arrangements among all participants must be developed and approved concurrently with the program proposal at the institutional level; the Memorandum of Understanding, signed by the appropriate senior level institutional officers, must be submitted with the final program proposal when the program proposal is submitted to CHE for approval.~~
- ~~22. The Committee on Academic Affairs will establish and maintain procedures designed to implement these policies.~~

#### **B. Policies on Off-site Delivery of Existing Programs**

- ~~23. Institutions may offer up to 50 percent of any approved degree program off-site without Commission approval. If an institution proposes to offer 50 percent or more of an existing degree program off-site by traditional instruction, Commission program modification approval is required.~~
- ~~24. Extension of any or all of an approved program to additional sites solely via distance education (see above definition of Distance Education) **does not** require Commission review and approval. In these instances, the institution must provide to the Commission, no later than three months prior to the implementation of the program, verification that all coursework offered off-site will be offered via distance education. (See Notification Policy on page 10.) (Note: The Commission endorses and expects all public colleges and universities in the state to adhere to the *Principles of Good Practice* and the *Common Standards* documents regarding distance education developed by the Southern Regional Education Board (SREB).)~~
- ~~25. Programs approved for delivery by the technical colleges and the two-year branches of the University of South Carolina are approved for delivery at any site within the Commission-approved service area or region (as stipulated in the Commission-approved mission statements of these institutions) of the institution awarding the degree. Programs offered outside the service area must comply with Commission policies for off-site programs (see policies 23 and 24 above).~~
- ~~26. Commission review and approval are **not required** for any existing program or part of a program offered out of state or out of country if that program or part of a program requests or requires no additional new appropriations from the state. The institution must notify the Commission no later than three months before~~

Formatted: Font: Bold

implementation of the program or program components at the site(s) in question and must report students enrolled in the program separately from students enrolled in-state.

### **C. Notification Policy**

27. In all cases of off-site delivery of existing programs, program modification, awarding of certificates, or program/major consolidation where Commission approval is not required, the institution awarding the degree program/major in question must notify the Commission's Director of Academic Affairs of the change in program status **no later than three months prior to the implementation of the program**. In all such cases, the Commission will notify the Advisory Committee on Academic Programs as promptly as possible after receiving notification from the awarding institution. Notifications from the institutions (see Appendix C for notification form) must include the following information: 1) degree program title (degree awarded and major); 2) site of delivery; 3) mode of delivery (i.e., distance or traditional or both) and the percentage of coursework offered by each mode; 4) the CIP code of the program (subject to confirmation by CHE); 5) a one-paragraph summary of the rationale for and objectives of the program; and, 6) a short curricular display that includes a list of courses in the major as well as information on general education requirements and electives.

### **D. Strategic Planning Policy for Academic Programs**

28. In concert with each cyclical review of existing programs conducted by the Commission (see *Guidelines for Existing Academic Program Review at Public Senior Institutions*), the Commission will make recommendations regarding the future status statewide of programs and fields of study under review. These recommendations will be based on three main sources: 1) a peer review document developed by out-of-state consultants hired by the Commission; 2) supplemental quantitative data relating to the field of study collected from statistically reliable sources (i.e., National Center for Education Statistics, Employment Security Commission, National Bureau of Labor Statistics, etc.); and, 3) recommendations by individual institutions in the form of institutional strategic plans submitted to the Commission each year and collectively in the form of recommendations made by the Advisory Committee on Academic Programs to the Commission's peer review team. As appropriate, the Commission may also make recommendations regarding the articulation of programs under review at senior institutions to programs at two-year institutions in the state (i.e., technical colleges and USC regional campuses).

### **E. Collaboration with Out-of-State Institutions**

Formatted: Font: Bold

- ~~29. In instances where out of state institutions formally collaborate with public South Carolina institutions in offering distance education coursework in South Carolina and where the South Carolina institution offers the degree, the out of state institution(s) will not be required to obtain Commission licensure to offer coursework leading to the degree. (Note: This policy requires revision of state licensing regulations prior to its implementation.)~~
2. All new degree programs, no matter the mode of delivery or location, require Commission approval.
  3. Proposals for program modifications must follow the same format and criteria as new program proposals. The Executive Director has approval authority for all program modifications, with final approval of appealed staff decisions resting with the Commission. All approval decisions regarding program modifications will be made within two months of approval of the final proposal by the Advisory Committee on Academic Programs.
  4. No program may be publicized as an approved program in the catalog of any institution or in any other manner prior to approval of the program by the Commission.
  5. The Commission does not require approval of the creation of new academic departments, schools, or colleges within existing institutions. However, institutions shall notify the Commission staff on a quarterly basis of any such changes.
  6. Diploma and certificate programs offered by the state's technical colleges requiring less than two years to complete **do not** require Commission approval.
  7. Certificate programs offered by senior institutions in a field or major in which the institution already possesses an approved degree program **do not** require Commission approval. Certificates requiring 18 or more credit hours in a field or major in which the institution **does not** possess an approved degree program **do** require full Commission approval. Certificates requiring **under 18** credit hours in a field or major in which the institution **does not** possess an approved degree program **do not** require full Commission approval.
  8. Compliance with the Commission's productivity standards for its existing programs will be considered in determining an institution's request to establish a new program. New program proposal requests will be approved by the Commission only if the proposal contains reasonable assurances that enrollment projections will meet the minimum standards for degree productivity.

9. In the case in which implementation of a proposed program entails new capital construction or substantial modifications of existing facilities, an appropriate request for Commission approval of such construction or modification must be submitted concurrently with the proposal for the new program.
10. All proposals to establish new doctoral programs must be accompanied by an evaluation from a qualified out-of-state consultant who analyzes the merits of the proposed program, its potential effect on existing programs, and the institution's readiness to support the proposed program. Also, colleges and universities should refer to the Commission's document *Priority Statements Relating to Offsite Doctoral Programs* for accepted best practices relating to doctoral programming.
11. An institution seeking approval to offer programs at levels above those which have been previously approved by the Commission is required to request of and receive from the Commission, through its Committee on Academic Affairs and Licensing and related planning and study processes, approval for a change in mission and status (i.e., new level of degree offered) prior to submission of a program proposal at the new level.
12. New centers, bureaus, and institutes for which the institution requests additional new appropriations from the state require new program approval. Existing centers not approved by the Commission must gain Commission approval prior to requesting any special state funding. Commission approval is **not required** for units where no additional new appropriation from the state is requested or required. In these cases, institutions must still adhere to the Commission's Notification Policy (see page 11).
13. Changes of program title, **without** any change in objectives, purposes, or substantive changes in curricula, **do not** require Commission review and approval. In cases where review and approval is **not** required, institutions must inform the Commission in writing **within thirty (30) days** of implementation of the changes, using the *Notification Form* (Appendix C) referenced in this policy and available on the Commission website.
14. As of September 1, 1999, all public institutions that offer State Board of Education-approved programs to prepare school personnel must be fully accredited by the National Council for the Accreditation of Teacher Education (NCATE). Therefore, all proposals for new school personnel preparation programs that are recommended for approval by the Commission will only be

recommended with the proviso that NCATE accreditation be sought immediately upon Commission approval.

15. Should an institution lose NCATE accreditation or be accredited with conditions, it may not apply for any new school personnel preparation programs until it has reacquired full accreditation.
16. All school personnel preparation programs should reflect prevailing national and state standards with respect to content and pedagogy. School personnel preparation programs are expected to meet standards of national specialty organizations within two years of initial approval and maintain them; failure to do so will result in the program's being placed on provisional approval status.
17. All Masters programs in education are expected to incorporate the core principles of the National Board for Professional Teaching Standards.
18. For Masters programs in education, coursework should be targeted towards those seeking an initial license or those already licensed, but not both. Justification will be required for those programs in which a limited number of courses serve to fulfill requirements for both M.A.T. and M.Ed. programs.
19. The staff of the State Department of Education will be notified and granted the opportunity to review all proposals for new programs related to school personnel preparation, including but not limited to, teacher education, counseling, and education administration programs.
20. All proposals for new programs related to school personnel preparation must be approved by the CHE prior to submission to the State Department/State Board of Education for approval.
21. An institution changing the name of a program through the State Department of Education, NCATE, a Specialized Professional Association (SPA), or any other accrediting body must follow Commission policy on **Program Modification, Notification of Program Change, or Notification of Termination.**
22. New program implementation may be deferred by the institution for up to three years following approval of the program. After that time, a new program proposal must be resubmitted and reauthorized if the institution wishes to implement the program.
23. The planning summary for any pending new program proposal will be considered active for no more than three years from the time of submission to

the Commission. After three years, the institution will be required to submit a new planning summary in order to have the new program proposal considered.

24. Exceptions to the timeline for the program planning summary and new program proposal approval cycle may be made in justifiable emergency situations by the Director of Academic Affairs and Licensing on behalf of the Committee on Academic Affairs and Licensing.
25. For joint or collaborative programs, a “Memorandum of Understanding” that clearly delineates program responsibilities and fiscal arrangements among all participants must be developed and approved concurrently with the program proposal at the institutional level; the “Memorandum of Understanding,” signed by the appropriate senior-level institutional officers, must be submitted with the final program proposal when the program proposal is submitted to CHE for approval.
26. The Committee on Academic Affairs and Licensing will establish and maintain procedures designed to implement these policies.

## **B. Policies on Off-site Delivery of Existing Programs**

27. The Commission endorses and expects all public colleges and universities in the state to adhere to the *Principles of Good Practice* regarding distance education developed by the Southern Regional Education Board [SREB].
28. Institutions may offer up to 50 percent of total required program credit hours for any **approved** degree program off-site without Commission approval. If an institution proposes to offer 50 percent or more of an existing degree program off-site by traditional instruction within a three-year period for associate's, baccalaureate, specialist, master's programs, and first professional programs, or within a five-year period for doctoral programs, Commission program modification approval is required.
29. Extension of an approved program to additional sites **solely** via distance education (see above definition of Distance Education) **does not** require Commission review and approval except for nursing programs (see #30 below). In these instances, the institution must provide to the Commission **within thirty (30) days of the implementation of the program verification that all coursework offered off-site is offered via distance education.** (See Notification Policy, Part C, on page 11.)
30. Extension of an approved baccalaureate nursing program to additional sites via distance education requires Commission review and approval because of the requirements and competition for clinical placements.
31. Programs approved for delivery by the technical colleges and the two-year regional campuses of the University of South Carolina are approved for delivery at any site within the Commission-approved service area or region (as stipulated in the Commission-approved mission statements of these institutions) of the institution awarding the degree. Programs offered outside the service area must comply with Commission policies for approval of off-site programs.
32. Shifting from one mode of electronic (distance) delivery to another electronic mode does not require CHE approval. However, institutions are expected to report the correct method of course delivery codes for CHEMIS.
33. Commission review and approval are **not required** for any **existing** program or part of a program offered entirely through electronic means out-of-state or out-of-country if that program or part of a program requests, requires, or receives no additional new appropriations from the state. The institution must inform the Commission using the *Notification Form* (Appendix C) no later than three

months before implementation of the program or program components at the site(s) in question and must report students enrolled in the program separately from students enrolled in-state.

34. Commission policies on program approval and program level apply fully to any **new** program being offered exclusively out-of-state or out-of-country by a state institution through electronic or other means.

### **C. Notification Policy for Program Changes**

35. In all cases of program changes involving:

- a) off-site delivery of existing programs that are delivered through electronic formats in their entirety;
- b) awarding of certificates of 18 hours or fewer from baccalaureate-granting institutions;
- c) program/major consolidation; or
- d) changes of program title, **without** any change in objectives, purposes, substantive changes in curricula, or changes in CIP code,

the institution awarding the degree program/major in question must inform the Commission's Director of Academic Affairs and Licensing of the change in program status using the *Notification Form* (Appendix C) **within thirty (30) days of the implementation of the program.**

36. In all such cases, the Director of Academic Affairs and Licensing will notify the Advisory Committee on Academic Programs at the Committee meeting subsequent to receiving notification from the awarding institution.

37. Notifications from the institutions (see Appendix C for *Notification Form*) must include the following information which clearly conveys the changes being made:

- a) degree program title (degree awarded and major);
- b) concentrations, options, tracks, etc;
- c) site of delivery;
- d) mode of delivery (i.e., distance and type (e.g., web, compressed video, satellite, etc.), traditional, or both) and the percentage of coursework offered by each mode;
- e) the CIP code of the program (subject to confirmation by CHE);
- f) a one-paragraph summary of the rationale for and the changes in the program; and,

Formatted: Font: Bold

g) a short curricular display that includes a list of courses in the major as well as information on general education requirements and electives.

38. In all cases of the creation of a center, institute, or bureau that is not receiving state funding and for which Commission approval is therefore not required, the institution creating the entity in question must notify the Commission's Director of Academic Affairs and Licensing in using the *Notification Form* (see Appendix C) **within thirty (30) days** of the creation of the center, institute, or bureau.

#### **D. Program Termination Policy**

39. Termination of any program, center, bureau, institute, or any academic school, department or college does not require prior Commission approval, but notification of such changes shall be made to the Commission staff using the *Notification of Termination of Academic Program or Organizational Unit* (Appendix C).

40. In the *Notification of Termination* for a terminated degree program, the institution shall provide a date certain by which the program will be closed to new students and a date certain by which the Data File will be closed (typically not longer than 150% of program duration, e.g., six years for a four-year program).

#### **E. Strategic Planning Policy for Academic Programs**

41. In concert with any special or targeted review of existing programs conducted by the Commission (see *Guidelines for Existing Academic Program Review at Public Senior Institutions*), the Commission will make recommendations regarding the future status statewide of programs and fields of study under review. These recommendations will be based on three main sources: 1) a peer review document developed by out-of-state consultants hired by the Commission; 2) supplemental quantitative data relating to the field of study collected from statistically reliable sources (i.e., National Center for Education Statistics, Employment Security Commission, National Bureau of Labor Statistics, etc.); and 3) the institution's strategic plan and the statewide strategic plan for higher education.

42. As appropriate, the Commission may also make recommendations regarding the articulation of programs under review at the undergraduate level.

Formatted: Justified

Formatted: Font: Bold

## SECTION IV

### Procedures

The cycle for the program development/new program approval/program modification process includes the steps noted below.

New programs will be approved in accord with the following procedures: submission of a **Program Planning Summary**; review by the Advisory Committee on Academic Programs; submission of a **Full Program Proposal**; review by the Advisory Committee on Academic Programs; review by the Committee on Academic Affairs; and, Licensing; and review and approval by the Commission. The Advisory Committee on Academic Programs reviews Program Planning Summaries each quarter and may elect not to review final proposals unless it wishes to raise questions about any given proposal.

**Program modifications** will be approved in accord with the following procedures: submission of a **Program Planning Summary**; review by the Advisory Committee on Academic Programs; submission of a **Full Program Proposal**; review by the Advisory Committee on Academic Programs; and Staff Approval within two months of approval by the Advisory Committee, with appeal to the Committee on Academic Affairs and Licensing and the Commission in the event of an unfavorable staff decision. Program modifications are reviewed each quarter.

### Approval Steps Process

The stepsprocess to be followed for the approval of New Programs and Program Modifications are listed is outlined below:

1. A **Program Planning Summary** is due not less than two months before the quarterly meeting of the Advisory Committee on Academic Programs at which the Summary is to be considered. The detailed timeline is posted on the Commission's website. The Director of Academic Affairs and Licensing may make exceptions to this schedule for justifiable emergencies. Program Planning Summaries should be submitted at the beginning, not at the end, of the institution's internal planning process. Each summary is limited to one program.

The following procedures are applicable for these summaries:

- a) Program Planning Summaries are valid for three years. After that date, Program Planning Summaries must be updated and resubmitted.

Formatted: Justified

Formatted: Justified

Formatted: Font: Bold

b) All Summaries must be signed by the institutional/system president. Summaries shall be submitted as a Word document by electronic means and shall be addressed to the ~~chief executive officer~~Director of the ~~Commission~~Division of Academic Affairs and Licensing.

Formatted: Justified

c) The Program Planning Summary **should not exceed three pages in length** and should include specific language that addresses the following eight~~ten~~ elements:

Formatted: Justified

- Designation as New Program Proposal or Modification and number of credit hours in program or modification;
- Designation of program as four- or five-year program;
- Designation of Science, Technology, Engineering and Math (STEM) programs, healthcare programs and Math/Science teacher education programs as qualified for supplemental Palmetto Fellows Scholarship and LIFE Scholarship awards;
- Proposed date of implementation;
- Justification of need for the proposed program;
- Anticipated program demand and productivity;
- Assessment of extent to which the proposed program duplicates existing programs in the state;
- Relationship of the proposed program to existing programs at the proposing institution;
- Relationship of the proposed program to other institutions via inter-institutional cooperation;
- Total new costs associated with implementing the proposed program (general estimates ~~only~~).

Formatted: Justified, Indent: Left: 1"

2. **Program approval requests will be classified based on the Program Planning Summary** into one of two categories: new programs and program modifications.

Formatted: Justified

3. **The Advisory Committee on Academic Programs reviews will review the Program Planning Summary and recommends approval or disapproval of the proposed program summary to the Commission.** An institution may not submit draft proposals until the Advisory Committee has considered the related Program Planning Summary. (See Appendix B.)

4. **Staff review of draft proposals.** It is essential for Commission staff to have the opportunity to consult with an institution early in its consideration and planning of new programs. Institutions are strongly urged to submit drafts of proposals for review by the Commission staff well in advance of due dates for proposals.

Formatted: Font: Bold

5. **Final Proposals Due.** Proposals for new programs or program modifications shall be submitted by the chief executive officer of the institution or system to the ~~chief executive officer~~Director of the ~~Commission~~Division of Academic Affairs and Licensing with an appropriate letter of transmittal. New programs and program modifications require the same proposal format (see Appendix A). Please note the following:

- a) ~~all~~All required institutional approvals, including that of the Board of Trustees if applicable, must be obtained prior to submission of the final proposal to the Commission.
- b) Staff will review final proposals to ensure that all required elements are included.
- c) Proposals should not exceed 20 pages in length.
- d) ~~Thirty~~Ten unbound copies of each proposal should be submitted in accord with the schedule on page 16. In addition, the full document in Word format should be submitted to the Director of the Division of Academic Affairs and Licensing or his/her designee.
- e) All doctoral program proposals must be accompanied by a single copy of an assessment by an external consultant of the merits of the proposed program ~~and~~, its potential effect on existing programs, and ~~of~~ the proposing institution's readiness to support the proposed program. In addition, the proposal must be accompanied by a brief institutional summary outlining changes made to the proposal in response to the external consultant's evaluation.
- f) Appendices, including letters of support, are discouraged and will not be forwarded to Committee/Commission members. This information should be quantified and included in the proposal narrative to the extent possible.
- g) In general, the Commission does not approve special funding for new program start-up costs.

6. **Advisory Committee on Academic Programs review.** ~~The~~ At the request of the Advisory Committee ~~votes, the Committee may vote~~ by ballot prior to each quarterly meeting whether to discuss ~~the~~ a final proposal at the quarterly meeting. At the request of any individual member, the Advisory Committee ~~may~~

Formatted: Justified, Indent: Left: 0.75", Tab stops: 1", Left + Not at 0.75"

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Justified

Formatted: Font: Bold

shall review the final program proposal. If no member of the Committee requests a review of the final proposal, the staff will consider the Committee's recommendation as a positive one for purposes of the staff program summary. In the case of new programs, the staff may forward its recommendation to the Committee on Academic Affairs and Licensing at its next scheduled meeting following the decision of the Advisory Committee.

7. **Staff review of program modifications.** After review by the Advisory Committee on Academic Programs, all program modifications will be reviewed by the staff of the Commission on behalf of the Committee on Academic Affairs and Licensing and the Commission. The Executive Director of the Commission possesses approval authority for all program modifications. Institutions may appeal the Executive Director's decision to the Committee on Academic Affairs and Licensing and the Commission, which retains final approval authority in appeals cases.

**(The following procedures pertain to new programs only.)**

Formatted: Justified

8. **Staff review and recommendation to the Committee on Academic Affairs and Licensing.** Commission staff will prepare for the Committee, in advance of its meetings, a written evaluation and recommendation ~~of for~~ each proposal to be considered. This material will also be provided ~~at least one to~~ two weeks in advance to the ~~chief academic officers~~Chief Academic Officers of the institutions.
9. **Committee on Academic Affairs and Licensing review.** The chairperson of the Committee, or his or her designee, will submit Committee findings and recommendations to the Commission at the appropriate time on each proposal on which the Committee has acted.
- 10.- Commission on Higher Education review. The ~~chief executive officer~~Executive Director of the Commission will notify in writing the ~~chief executive officer~~Chief Executive Officer of the institution or system regarding the action the Commission has taken on each proposed program.
- 11.- Institutional Appeal Rights. An institution wishing to appeal the Commission's action on ~~proposals~~any proposal for a new program may do so provided a written notice stating the reason(s) for the appeal is submitted to the ~~chief executive officer~~Executive Director of the Commission by the chief executive officer of the institution or system within 30 calendar days after receipt of written notice of the Commission's action. All such appeals will be referred to

Formatted: Font: Bold

the Committee on Academic Affairs and Licensing for consideration at a regularly scheduled quarterly meeting. The Committee will undertake any further study or such other action as may appear to it to be appropriate under the circumstances.

~~The timelines~~ Timelines for the approval processes for new programs and for program modifications are displayed in the following tables. Please note that the tables are updated annually and that the dates of submission, Committee meetings, and Commission meetings vary from year to year. Updated timelines are posted on the Commission's website ([www.che.sc.gov](http://www.che.sc.gov)) .

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted: Font: Bold

<b>COMMISSION APPROVAL PROCESSES DATES FOR</b>					
<b>NEW PROGRAMS</b>					
(Undergraduate, Graduate Programs, and Centers, Bureaus, and Institutes)					
<b>Program Planning Summary Due</b>	<b>Advisory Committee on Academic Programs Reviews Summaries</b>	<b>Final Proposal Due</b>	<b>Advisory Committee on Academic Programs (ACAP)</b>	<b>Committee on Academic Affairs &amp; Licensing (CAAL)</b>	<b>Commission on Higher Education (CHE)</b>
Feb. 1	March	<del>May 1</del> May 1	<del>July 14, 2005</del> July 25, 2007	<del>Sept. 1, 2005</del> 2006 2007	<del>Oct. 6, 2005</del> 2007
May 1	July	Aug. 15	<del>Sep. 29, 2005</del> Oct. 11, 2007	<del>Oct. 11, 2005</del> Nov. 1, 2007	<del>Nov. 3, 2005</del> Dec. 6, 2007
Aug. 1	September	Nov. 15	<del>Jan. 18, 2006</del> 17, 2008	<del>Feb. 2, 2006</del> 2007 2008	<del>Mar. 2, 2006</del> 2008
Nov. 1	January	Feb. 15	<del>Mar. 14, 2006</del> 20, 2008	<del>April 6, 2006</del> 2008	<del>May 4, 2006</del> 2008

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left

<b>PROGRAM MODIFICATIONS</b>				
<b>Program Planning Summary Due</b>	<b>Advisory Committee on Academic Programs (ACAP) Reviews Summaries</b>	<b>Final Proposal Due to CHE</b>	<b>Advisory Committee on Academic Programs (ACAP)</b>	<b>Staff Approval (2 Months After Last ACAP Review)</b>
February 1	March	May 15	July	September

Formatted: Font: Bold

May 1	July	Aug. 15	<del>September</del> October	December
August 1	<del>September</del> October	Nov. 15	January	March
November 1	January	Feb. 15	March	May

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial Unicode MS

Formatted: Centered

Formatted: Justified

Formatted: Font: Bold

## APPENDIX A

### A. Format for All Program Proposals (New Program, Modification, and Centers, Bureaus, and Institutes)

The proposal ~~should~~must contain the following elements:

Formatted: Underline

Formatted: Underline

#### Cover Page

Name of the proposing institution

Title of the proposed program (including options, concentrations, tracks)

Date of submission

Signature of the chief executive officer of the institution or system

Program contact name and contact information

Formatted: Right: 0", Space Before: 0 pt, After: 0 pt

#### Classification

##### Name

Title of the proposed program

(including options, concentrations, tracks)

Academic unit involved

Designation, type, and level of degree

—(if a baccalaureate, please specify 4- or 5-year)

Proposed date of implementation

CIP code from the current ~~USDE's~~USDOE's Classification of Instructional Programs

Identification of ~~program~~Program as New or Modification

Site

Program qualifies for supplemental Palmetto Fellows Scholarship and LIFE

Scholarship awards: Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No: \_\_\_\_\_

Delivery mode

Formatted: Font: 13.5 pt

Formatted: Normal, Indent: Left: 0.5", Hanging: 0.25", Right: 0"

#### Justification

This section must contain at least the following:

- A statement of the purposes and objectives of the program
- A discussion of the need for the program in the state, including but not limited to student demand or interest, anticipated employment opportunities

Formatted: Justified

Formatted: Justified

Formatted: Justified, Indent: Left: 0.5"

Formatted: Font: Bold

for graduates, or demand for services, which must be quantified to the maximum extent possible ~~and must~~, cover a reasonable period in the future beyond the anticipated date of graduation of the first classes, and must sources of data.

- o A discussion of the centrality of the program to the mission of the institution as that mission is currently defined by the Commission.

e

- o A discussion of the relationship of the proposed program to other related programs within the institution, including, if possible, description of strengths and weaknesses of the related programs as documented by evaluative reports of institutional and/or Commission consultants.

- o A description of similarities or differences between the proposed program and those with likesimilar objectives offered at other institutions including discussion of likesimilar programs within the state, and especially for graduate programs, the region, and the nation. The discussion should include reference to programs offered by independent institutions headquartered in South Carolina, the Academic Common Market, and web-based institutions.

Formatted: Justified, Indent: Left: 0.5", No bullets or numbering

Formatted: Justified, Indent: Left: 0.5", Bulleted + Level: 2 + Aligned at: 0.75" + Tab after: 1" + Indent at: 1", Tab stops: 0.75", List tab + Not at 1"

Formatted: Justified, Indent: Left: 0.5"

## Enrollment

This section must contain at least the following information:

- o A discussion of admissions criteria specific to the program;
- o A table showing projected total student enrollment in each term for at least the first three years for associate degree programs or the first five years for all other proposed programs. These figures should ~~include both~~ enumerate all students, including those who are already enrolled at the institution, those who transfer into the new program from other majors, and ~~students~~ those who are new to the institution and to the program;

Formatted: Justified

Formatted: Justified

Formatted: Justified, Indent: Left: 0.5"

The format for this table is as follows:

PROJECTED TOTAL ENROLLMENT						
YEAR	FALL		SPRING		SUMMER	
	Headcount	Credit Hours	Headcount	Credit Hours	Headcount	Credit Hours

Formatted: Justified

Formatted: Font: 10 pt

Formatted Table

Formatted: Font: 10 pt

Formatted: Font: 10 pt

Formatted: Centered

Formatted: Font: Bold

20xx - xx						
20xx - xx						
20xx - xx						
20xx - xx						
20xx - xx						

- Formatted: Font: 10 pt
- Formatted: Justified
- Formatted: Normal + 13.5 pt, Space Before: 0 pt, After: 0 pt, Bulleted + Level: 1 + Aligned at: 0.5" + Tab after: 0.75" + Indent at: 0.75"

- A discussion of the process by which these estimates were made, including the pool or pools of students to be served; and
- A table showing the estimated **new** student enrollments, by headcount and credit hours generated; this. This table is different from the one above in that the enrollments projected represent only new enrollments at the institution as opposed to students enrolled in other programs who change their majors (i.e., students already enrolled at the institution who transfer to the program must be excluded from this table). Use this table to figure new costs and revenues attributed to the proposed new program.

The format for this table is below:

ESTIMATED NEW ENROLLMENT						
YEAR	FALL		SPRING		SUMMER	
	Headcount	Credit Hours	Headcount	Credit Hours	Headcount	Credit Hours
20xx - xx						
20xx - xx						
20xx - xx						
20xx - xx						
20xx - xx						
20xx - xx						

- Formatted: Justified
- Formatted: Font: 10 pt
- Formatted: Font: 10 pt
- Formatted: Justified
- Formatted Table
- Formatted: Font: 10 pt
- Formatted: Justified

**Curriculum**

This section should contain at least the following:

- Formatted: Font: Bold



◦ Enumeration and discussion of the necessary qualifications of new faculty (and staff) ~~that~~who will be added in support of the proposed program;

Formatted: Justified, Bulleted + Level: 1 + Aligned at: 0.5" + Tab after: 0.75" + Indent at: 0.75"

◦ In the case of currently-employed faculty or administrators, an explanation of proposed changes in assignment and of the extent to which each new assignment may require the addition of new positions to fulfill the former assignment;

Formatted: Normal + 13.5 pt, Space Before: 0 pt, After: 0 pt, Bulleted + Level: 1 + Aligned at: 0.5" + Tab after: 0.75" + Indent at: 0.75"

◦ A statement of the institutional plan for faculty development as it may relate specifically to the proposed program, including but not limited to released time for research, consulting, conferences, or curriculum development;

Formatted: Bulleted + Level: 1 + Aligned at: 0.5" + Tab after: 0.75" + Indent at: 0.75"

◦ The institutional definition of the full-time equivalents (FTE);

◦ A table showing for at least the first three years (for associate degree programs) or five years (for all others), the number (headcount) and the full-time equivalent (FTE) of faculty, administrators, and/or staff to be used in the program, listing new and currently-employed faculty, administrators, and staff separately; ~~the headcount and FTE figures.~~ An example for new employees should be carried forward in the "New" column for "Faculty" is shown below:

<b>UNIT ADMINISTRATION/FACULTY/STAFF SUPPORT</b>						
<b>YEAR</b>	<b>NEW</b>		<b>EXISTING</b>		<b>TOTAL</b>	
	Headcount	FTE	Headcount	FTE	Headcount	FTE
<b>Faculty</b>						
<u>20xx – xx</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>.75</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>1.5</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>2.25</u>
<u>20xx – xx</u>			<u>5</u>	<u>2.25</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>2.25</u>
<u>20xx – xx</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1.0</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>2.25</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>3.25</u>
<u>20xx – xx</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>.75</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>3.25</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>4.00</u>
<u>20xx – xx</u>			<u>8</u>	<u>4.00</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>4.00</u>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2.5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>4.00</b>

Formatted: Font: Bold

- ~~The format for the first three years (for associate degree programs) or five years (for all others) of the program's existence~~

~~The format for this full table is on the following page:~~

Formatted: Font:

Formatted: Justified

Formatted: Font: Bold

UNIT ADMINISTRATION/FACULTY/STAFF SUPPORT						
YEAR	NEW		EXISTING		TOTAL	
	Headcount	FTE	Headcount	FTE	Headcount	FTE
<b>Administration</b>						
20xx - xx						
20xx - xx						
20xx - xx						
20xx - xx						
20xx - xx						
<b>Faculty</b>						
20xx - xx						
20xx - xx						
20xx - xx						
20xx - xx						
20xx - xx						
<b>Staff</b>						
20xx - xx						
20xx - xx						
20xx - xx						
20xx - xx						
20xx - xx						
=						

- Formatted ... [2]
- Formatted ... [3]
- Formatted ... [4]
- Formatted Table ... [5]
- Formatted ... [6]
- Formatted ... [7]
- Formatted ... [8]
- Formatted ... [9]
- Formatted ... [10]
- Formatted ... [11]
- Formatted Table ... [12]
- Formatted ... [13]
- Formatted ... [14]
- Formatted ... [15]
- Formatted ... [16]
- Formatted ... [17]
- Formatted ... [18]
- Formatted ... [19]
- Formatted ... [20]
- Formatted ... [21]
- Formatted ... [22]
- Formatted ... [23]
- Formatted ... [24]
- Formatted Table ... [25]
- Formatted ... [26]
- Formatted ... [27]
- Formatted ... [28]
- Formatted ... [29]
- Formatted ... [30]
- Formatted ... [31]
- Formatted ... [32]
- Formatted ... [33]
- Formatted ... [34]
- Formatted ... [35]
- Formatted ... [36]
- Formatted ... [37]
- Formatted Table ... [38]
- Formatted ... [39]
- Formatted ... [40]
- Formatted ... [41]
- Formatted ... [42]
- Formatted ... [43]
- Formatted ... [44]
- Formatted ... [45]
- Formatted ... [46]
- Formatted ... [47]
- Formatted ... [1]

## Physical Plant

This section should contain at least the following:

- An explanation of whether, or to what extent, the existing physical plant will be adequate to provide space for the program for at least the first five years (three years for two-year colleges);
- A discussion of any additional physical plant requirements during the foreseeable future, including any modifications to existing facilities; and an explanation of how these are to be financed.

Formatted: Justified

Formatted: Justified, Bulleted + Level: 1 + Aligned at: 0.5" + Tab after: 0.75" + Indent at: 0.75", Tab stops: 1.25", Left

Formatted: Justified, Indent: Left: 0.5", Bulleted + Level: 1 + Aligned at: 0.63" + Tab after: 0.88" + Indent at: 0.88", Tab stops: 0.75", List tab + Not at 0.88"

## Equipment

This section should contain at least the following:

- A brief discussion and identification of major equipment items that may be needed for at least the first five years (three years for two-year colleges); ~~normal~~. Normal acquisitions of commonly used items for instruction and research may be excluded.

Formatted: Justified

Formatted: Justified

Formatted: Justified, Indent: Left: 0.5", Bulleted + Level: 1 + Aligned at: 0.63" + Tab after: 0.88" + Indent at: 0.88", Tab stops: Not at 0.88"

## Library Resources

This section should contain at least the following:

- A quantitative comparison of the institution's current holdings with a standard guide (such as the ALA Standards for College Libraries) in relationship to the new program being proposed;
- A qualitative assessment of current holdings in view of the new program being proposed;
- A quantitative estimate of acquisitions that may be needed annually for at least the first five years (three years for the two-year colleges) and the estimated additional cost of these;
- A comparison of holdings in the proposed program area against holdings of other institutions in the state offering a similar program.

Formatted: Justified

Formatted: Justified

Formatted: Justified, Bulleted + Level: 1 + Aligned at: 0.63" + Tab after: 0.88" + Indent at: 0.88"

Formatted: Justified, Bulleted + Level: 1 + Aligned at: 0.63" + Tab after: 0.88" + Indent at: 0.88"

Formatted: Justified, Bulleted + Level: 1 + Aligned at: 0.63" + Tab after: 0.88" + Indent at: 0.88"

The statewide higher education electronic library may be included as part of the library's resource base when making calculations of need for library resources for a new or modified program proposal. Although this resource brings substantial resources

Formatted: Font: Bold

to bear, it does not obviate the need or desirability of all other additional library resources for a new program.

### **Accreditation, Approval, Licensure, or Certification**

This section should contain at least the following:

- o If the proposed program is subject to specialized or professional accreditation or approval by any state agency other than the Commission, a brief description of the accreditation or approval process, a statement as to whether such accreditation or approval will be sought, and when that accreditation or approval may be reasonably expected ;
- o If graduates of the proposed program are subject to licensure or certification by any public or private agency, a brief description of that process and of the ways in which the proposed program will ensure that such certification or licensure, if obligatory, can reasonably be expected to be achieved by graduates.

Proposed education programs should also contain the following:

- o For programs that lead to initial teacher certification or to licensure/certification of other school personnel (e.g., principals, superintendents, counselors), a concise but complete description of how the proposed program addresses national Specialty Professional Association standards and State Content Standards; and
- o For programs at the graduate level that focus directly on teacher education (not educational leadership, etc.), a concise but complete description of how the proposed program addresses the core propositions of the National Board for Professional Teaching Standards.

### **Articulation**

This section should contain a description of the institution’s efforts to link the proposed program to similar programs offered by other South Carolina institutions.

- o Proposed associate level programs should show a path for graduates to move into a related baccalaureate program, as appropriate.
- o Proposed baccalaureate-level programs should show an entry path for students from two-year institutions, as appropriate.

Formatted: Justified

Formatted: Justified, Tab stops: 1.25", Left

Formatted: Justified, Indent: Left: 0.5", Tab stops: 1.25", Left

Formatted: Justified, Indent: Left: 0.5"

Formatted: Justified

Formatted: Justified, Indent: Left: 0.5"

Formatted: Justified

Formatted: Justified, Indent: Left: 0.5"

Formatted: Justified

Formatted: Font: Bold

- If the proposed program leads to a degree that is normally considered to be a terminal degree, the institution should so state in this section.
- Institutions should highlight collaboration with other state institutions in this section.
- If a program cannot show progress towards articulation agreements or inter-institutional collaboration, it should explain the lack thereof in this section.

**Estimated ~~Cost~~New Costs**

This section should contain at least the following:

- The table on page 2625, which shows estimated annual new expenditures necessary annually costs for at least the first three years for associate degree programs and for the first five years for all others and which displays sources of funds that will be available to support the proposed program

(NOTE: Regarding the Sources of Financing section of the table on page 2628, institutions should provide information on regarding how the estimated new costs for the program costs will be covered. Institutions should estimate in this section, institutions should estimate the projected revenues from the State generated by new student FTE's enrolled in the program ([an estimate expressed by the Mission Resource Requirement]; tuition funding generated by new students; "Other State Funding," meaning special legislative appropriations ("below the line" appropriations); reallocation of existing funds from within the institution; Federal federal funding; and, "Other Funding" other funding such as endowment income, auxiliary enterprise funds, etc.)

- A statement as to whether or not "unique cost" or other special state appropriations will be required or requested.

**Institutional Approval**

- ~~This section must include a list of the titles of all internal institutional bodies of which approval was required, such as faculty committees and the institutional governing board, and the dates on which each such body approved the program. New programs or program modifications to be offered at The University Center of Greenville or similar consortia must be approved by The Center's governing board prior to submission to the Commission.~~

Formatted: Justified

Formatted: Justified

Formatted: Justified, Indent: Left: 0.5"

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Justified, Indent: Left: 1"

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Justified, Indent: Left: 0.5"

Formatted: Font: Bold

~~NEW COSTS TO THE INSTITUTION AND SOURCES OF FINANCING~~

**Table for New Costs to the Institution and Sources of Financing (Next page)**

Specify source(s) (e.g., special item appropriation, auxiliary enterprise funds, endowment income, special grant or contract, etc.)

Formatted: Font: Bold

ESTIMATED NEW COSTS BY YEAR						
CATEGORY	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	3 <sup>rd</sup>	4 <sup>th</sup>	5 <sup>th</sup>	TOTALS
Program Administration						
Faculty Salaries						
Graduate Assistants						
Clerical/Support Personnel						
Supplies and Materials						
Library Resources						
Equipment						
Facilities						
Other (Identify)						
<b>TOTALS</b>						
SOURCES OF FINANCING BY YEAR						
Estimated FTE Revenue Generated from the State (See note on page 25.)						
Tuition Funding (New students only)						
Other State Funding (Legislative Approp.)						
Reallocation of Existing Funds						
Federal Funding						
Other Funding (Endowment, Auxiliary etc.)						
<b>TOTALS</b>						

- Formatted ... [49]
- Formatted Table ... [51]
- Formatted ... [52]
- Formatted ... [50]
- Formatted ... [53]
- Formatted ... [54]
- Formatted ... [55]
- Formatted ... [56]
- Formatted ... [57]
- Formatted ... [58]
- Formatted ... [59]
- Formatted ... [60]
- Formatted ... [61]
- Formatted ... [62]
- Formatted ... [63]
- Formatted ... [64]
- Formatted ... [65]
- Formatted ... [66]
- Formatted ... [67]
- Formatted ... [68]
- Formatted ... [69]
- Formatted ... [70]
- Formatted ... [71]
- Formatted ... [72]
- Formatted ... [73]
- Formatted ... [74]
- Formatted ... [75]
- Formatted ... [76]
- Formatted ... [77]
- Formatted ... [78]
- Formatted ... [79]
- Formatted ... [80]
- Formatted ... [81]
- Formatted ... [82]
- Formatted ... [83]
- Formatted ... [84]
- Formatted ... [85]
- Formatted ... [86]
- Formatted ... [87]
- Formatted ... [88]
- Formatted ... [89]
- Formatted ... [90]
- Formatted ... [91]
- Formatted ... [92]
- Formatted ... [48]

~~\* Specify source(s) (e.g., Special Item Appropriation, Auxiliary Enterprise Funds, Endowment Income, special grant or contract, etc.)~~

**Formatted: Font: Bold**

## **Institutional Approval**

- This section must include a list of titles of all internal institutional bodies of which approval was required, such as faculty committees and the institutional governing board, and the dates on which each body approved the program. Such approval is required prior to the submission of program proposals to the Commission.

## APPENDIX B

### Advisory Committee on Academic Programs

There is established a permanent Advisory Committee on Academic Programs. The purpose of this Advisory Committee is to advise the Commission, principally through the Staff and the Committee on Academic Affairs and Licensing, on all matters relating to academic affairs generally, and specifically to advise these bodies on matters relating to new and existing programs.

Formatted: Justified

The members of the Advisory Committee shall consist of the following persons ex officio:

- a) The chief academic affairs officer of the Commission staff, who shall serve as chair;
- b) The chief academic officer of each of the public senior colleges and universities;
- c) The chief academic officer of the staff of the State ~~Board for~~ Technical College System.
- d) The Chief Academic Officers from three technical colleges, to be appointed for two-year terms by the Technical College Chief Academic Officers Peer Group, to take effect on July 1<sup>st</sup>.
- e) The Vice Provost for System Affairs and Comprehensive Executive Dean for Regional Campuses and Continuing Education- representative of the two-year institutions of the USC System.

The Advisory Committee will meet regularly at least four times annually for the purpose of reviewing proposals for new and modified programs. The dates for these meetings will be set at the beginning of each fiscal year. Special meetings may be called by the chair at his or her own volition or at the request of a majority of the members. An agenda and supporting materials will be mailed to the members by the chair at least one to two weeks in advance of each meeting. A majority of the membership will constitute a quorum at any meeting.

Formatted: Justified, Right: 0", Space Before: 0 pt, After: 0 pt

Formatted: Justified

All Program Planning Summaries and, upon request by any member, full proposals, will be referred to the Advisory Committee for advice, comment, and ~~for~~ approval or disapproval. These actions will be reported to the staff and/or Committee on Academic Affairs and Licensing. Disapproval of a proposal by the Advisory Committee

Formatted: Font: Bold

will not remove that proposal from the approval process unless the proposing institution elects voluntarily to withdraw the proposal.

The Advisory Committee may undertake such studies and make such recommendations to the Committee on Academic Affairs and Licensing as it may elect. Appropriate matters may also be referred by the Committee on Academic Affairs and Licensing or by the Commission to the Advisory Committee for its study and advice.

Formatted: Font: Bold

APPENDIX C

Forms

Notification of Change in Academic Program Status

Notification of Termination of Academic Program

**S.C. Commission on Higher Education**  
**Notification of Change in Academic Program Status or Organizational Unit**

**After approval by Chief Instructional Officer,**

Four- year institutions please send completed form by mail to:

Or, fax to:

Director of Academic Affairs and Licensing

(803) 737-2297

S. C. Commission on Higher Education

~~Division of Academic Affairs~~

1333 Main Street, Suite 200

Columbia, SC 29201

Technical Colleges please send completed form by mail to:

~~Associate Director for Instruction~~

~~State Board Vice President for Technical & Comprehensive Education~~ Academic Affairs

~~South Carolina Technical College System~~

111 Executive Center Drive

Columbia, SC 29210

1. a. Institution \_\_\_\_\_  
b. Implementation date for change: \_\_\_\_\_

2. ~~Degree awarded, major, and concentration, if applicable~~ \_\_\_\_\_ Title of the program (including options, concentrations, tracks) \_\_\_\_\_

2. Designation, type, and level of degree (if a baccalaureate, please specify 4- or 5-year)  
\_\_\_\_\_

3. Site of delivery \_\_\_\_\_

4. Mode of delivery (distance, traditional, both) and percentage of coursework offered by each mode \_\_\_\_\_

5. CIP Code (confirmed by CHE) \_\_\_\_\_; Site Code (assigned by CHE) \_\_\_\_\_

6. Nature of change and summary of the rationale for and objectives of the program  
(Please include the number of credit hours the change entails.)

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

7. Curricular display: courses in the major (prefix, number, and title); information on general education and electives requirements; number of credits required for graduation

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Formatted: Normal

Formatted: Font: Bold



~~NOTIFICATION OF~~ **Notification of Termination of Academic Program,  
Center, Bureau,**

Formatted: Font: 14 pt

~~TERMINATION OF ACADEMIC PROGRAM~~

**Institute, or Other Administrative Unit**  
(One Program Per Form)

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

Institution terminating program: \_\_\_\_\_

Degree Designation: \_\_\_\_\_

Program title and concentration if applicable: \_\_\_\_\_

CIP Code: \_\_\_\_\_; \_\_\_\_\_; \_\_\_\_\_

Site(s) of program if other than main campus: \_\_\_\_\_

Article I. Site code(s): \_\_\_\_\_; \_\_\_\_\_; \_\_\_\_\_  
Distance Delivered program? Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

Article II. DATE program will be closed to new students: (mo/year)

\_\_\_\_\_

**DATE Data File should will be closed: (mo/yr)** \_\_\_\_\_  
(Date by which all currently enrolled students will have graduated or transferred to other programs)

Formatted: Font: 11 pt

Formatted: Font: 11 pt

Formatted: Font: 11 pt

Reason for termination: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

**Signature of Academic Vice President** \_\_\_\_\_ **Date**

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

Formatted: Font: 11 pt

Formatted: Font: 11 pt

Formatted: Font: 11 pt

Formatted: Font: 11 pt

\*Technical Colleges should submit this form to: Office of Academic Affairs, ~~State Board for South Carolina~~ Technical ~~and Comprehensive Education~~ College System, 111 Executive Center Drive, Columbia, SC 29210. ~~STBTC~~~~SCTCS~~ will then forward the information to the Commission on Higher Education.

\*All other Institutions should submit this form to: Dr. Gail M. Morrison, Director of Academic Affairs & Licensing, SC Commission on Higher Education, 1333 Main Street, Suite 200, Columbia, SC 29201

Formatted: Font: Bold

South Carolina Commission on Higher Education  
Academic Affairs and Licensing Division  
Phone # (803) 737-2242  
FAX # (803) 737-2297  
website: www.che.sc.gov

**Formatted: Font: Bold**

**DRAFT** - Page 47 of 47 - **DRAFT**

Page 1: [1] Formatted	mraley	7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM
Font: Bold		
Page 33: [2] Formatted	mraley	7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM
Font: 10 pt		
Page 33: [3] Formatted	mraley	7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM
Font: 10 pt		
Page 33: [4] Formatted	mraley	7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM
Justified		
Page 33: [5] Change	mraley	7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM
Formatted Table		
Page 33: [6] Formatted	mraley	7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM
Font: 10 pt		
Page 33: [7] Formatted	mraley	7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM
Justified		
Page 33: [8] Formatted	mraley	7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM
Font: 10 pt		
Page 33: [9] Formatted	mraley	7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM
Justified		
Page 33: [10] Formatted	mraley	7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM
Font: 10 pt		
Page 33: [11] Formatted	mraley	7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM
Justified		
Page 33: [12] Change	mraley	7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM
Formatted Table		
Page 33: [13] Formatted	mraley	7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM
Font: 10 pt		
Page 33: [14] Formatted	mraley	7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM
Justified		
Page 33: [15] Formatted	mraley	7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM
Font: 10 pt		
Page 33: [16] Formatted	mraley	7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM
Justified		
Page 33: [17] Formatted	mraley	7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM
Font: 10 pt		
Page 33: [18] Formatted	mraley	7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM
Justified		
Page 33: [19] Formatted	mraley	7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM

Justified

Page 33: [21] Formatted	mraley	7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM
-------------------------	--------	-----------------------

Font: 10 pt

Page 33: [22] Formatted	mraley	7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM
-------------------------	--------	-----------------------

Justified

Page 33: [23] Formatted	mraley	7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM
-------------------------	--------	-----------------------

Font: 10 pt

Page 33: [24] Formatted	mraley	7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM
-------------------------	--------	-----------------------

Justified

Page 33: [25] Change	mraley	7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM
----------------------	--------	-----------------------

Formatted Table

Page 33: [26] Formatted	mraley	7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM
-------------------------	--------	-----------------------

Font: 10 pt

Page 33: [27] Formatted	mraley	7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM
-------------------------	--------	-----------------------

Justified

Page 33: [28] Formatted	mraley	7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM
-------------------------	--------	-----------------------

Font: 10 pt

Page 33: [29] Formatted	mraley	7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM
-------------------------	--------	-----------------------

Justified

Page 33: [30] Formatted	mraley	7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM
-------------------------	--------	-----------------------

Font: 10 pt

Page 33: [31] Formatted	mraley	7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM
-------------------------	--------	-----------------------

Justified

Page 33: [32] Formatted	mraley	7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM
-------------------------	--------	-----------------------

Font: 10 pt

Page 33: [33] Formatted	mraley	7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM
-------------------------	--------	-----------------------

Justified

Page 33: [34] Formatted	mraley	7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM
-------------------------	--------	-----------------------

Font: 10 pt

Page 33: [35] Formatted	mraley	7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM
-------------------------	--------	-----------------------

Justified

Page 33: [36] Formatted	mraley	7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM
-------------------------	--------	-----------------------

Font: 10 pt

Page 33: [37] Formatted	mraley	7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM
-------------------------	--------	-----------------------

Justified

Page 33: [38] Change	mraley	7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM
----------------------	--------	-----------------------

Formatted Table

Page 33: [40] Formatted mralely 7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM

Justified

Page 33: [41] Formatted mralely 7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM

Font: 10 pt

Page 33: [42] Formatted mralely 7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM

Justified

Page 33: [43] Formatted mralely 7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM

Font: 10 pt

Page 33: [44] Formatted mralely 7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM

Justified

Page 33: [45] Formatted mralely 7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM

Font: 10 pt

Page 33: [46] Formatted mralely 7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM

Justified

Page 33: [47] Formatted mralely 7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM

Justified

Page 1: [48] Formatted mralely 7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM

Font: Bold

Page 38: [49] Formatted mralely 7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM

Font: 10 pt

Page 38: [50] Formatted mralely 7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM

Position: Horizontal: Left, Relative to: Margin, Vertical: 0.15", Relative to: Paragraph,  
Horizontal: 0.13", Wrap Around

Page 38: [51] Change mralely 7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM

Formatted Table

Page 38: [52] Formatted mralely 7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM

Font: 10 pt

Page 38: [53] Formatted mralely 7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM

Font: 10 pt

Page 38: [54] Formatted mralely 7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM

Position: Horizontal: Left, Relative to: Margin, Vertical: 0.15", Relative to: Paragraph,  
Horizontal: 0.13", Wrap Around

Page 38: [55] Formatted mralely 7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM

Font: 10 pt

Page 38: [56] Formatted mralely 7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM

Position: Horizontal: Left, Relative to: Margin, Vertical: 0.15", Relative to: Paragraph,  
Horizontal: 0.13", Wrap Around

Page 38: [57] Formatted mralely 7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM

Page 38: [58] Formatted mralely 7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM

Position: Horizontal: Left, Relative to: Margin, Vertical: 0.15", Relative to: Paragraph,  
Horizontal: 0.13", Wrap Around

Page 38: [59] Formatted mralely 7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM

Font: 10 pt

Page 38: [60] Formatted mralely 7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM

Position: Horizontal: Left, Relative to: Margin, Vertical: 0.15", Relative to: Paragraph,  
Horizontal: 0.13", Wrap Around

Page 38: [61] Formatted mralely 7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM

Font: 10 pt

Page 38: [62] Formatted mralely 7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM

Position: Horizontal: Left, Relative to: Margin, Vertical: 0.15", Relative to: Paragraph,  
Horizontal: 0.13", Wrap Around

Page 38: [63] Formatted mralely 7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM

Font: 10 pt

Page 38: [64] Formatted mralely 7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM

Position: Horizontal: Left, Relative to: Margin, Vertical: 0.15", Relative to: Paragraph,  
Horizontal: 0.13", Wrap Around

Page 38: [65] Formatted mralely 7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM

Font: 10 pt

Page 38: [66] Formatted mralely 7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM

Position: Horizontal: Left, Relative to: Margin, Vertical: 0.15", Relative to: Paragraph,  
Horizontal: 0.13", Wrap Around

Page 38: [67] Formatted mralely 7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM

Font: 10 pt

Page 38: [68] Formatted mralely 7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM

Position: Horizontal: Left, Relative to: Margin, Vertical: 0.15", Relative to: Paragraph,  
Horizontal: 0.13", Wrap Around

Page 38: [69] Formatted mralely 7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM

Font: 10 pt

Page 38: [70] Formatted mralely 7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM

Position: Horizontal: Left, Relative to: Margin, Vertical: 0.15", Relative to: Paragraph,  
Horizontal: 0.13", Wrap Around

Page 38: [71] Formatted mralely 7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM

Font: 10 pt

Page 38: [72] Formatted mralely 7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM

Position: Horizontal: Left, Relative to: Margin, Vertical: 0.15", Relative to: Paragraph,  
Horizontal: 0.13", Wrap Around

Page 38: [73] Formatted mralely 7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM

Page 38: [74] Formatted mralely 7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM

Position: Horizontal: Left, Relative to: Margin, Vertical: 0.15", Relative to: Paragraph,  
Horizontal: 0.13", Wrap Around

Page 38: [75] Formatted mralely 7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM

Font: 10 pt

Page 38: [76] Formatted mralely 7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM

Position: Horizontal: Left, Relative to: Margin, Vertical: 0.15", Relative to: Paragraph,  
Horizontal: 0.13", Wrap Around

Page 38: [77] Formatted mralely 7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM

Font: 10 pt

Page 38: [78] Formatted mralely 7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM

Position: Horizontal: Left, Relative to: Margin, Vertical: 0.15", Relative to: Paragraph,  
Horizontal: 0.13", Wrap Around

Page 38: [79] Formatted mralely 7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM

Font: 10 pt

Page 38: [80] Formatted mralely 7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM

Position: Horizontal: Left, Relative to: Margin, Vertical: 0.15", Relative to: Paragraph,  
Horizontal: 0.13", Wrap Around

Page 38: [81] Formatted mralely 7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM

Font: 10 pt

Page 38: [82] Formatted mralely 7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM

Position: Horizontal: Left, Relative to: Margin, Vertical: 0.15", Relative to: Paragraph,  
Horizontal: 0.13", Wrap Around

Page 38: [83] Formatted mralely 7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM

Font: 10 pt

Page 38: [84] Formatted mralely 7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM

Position: Horizontal: Left, Relative to: Margin, Vertical: 0.15", Relative to: Paragraph,  
Horizontal: 0.13", Wrap Around

Page 38: [85] Formatted mralely 7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM

Font: 10 pt

Page 38: [86] Formatted mralely 7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM

Position: Horizontal: Left, Relative to: Margin, Vertical: 0.15", Relative to: Paragraph,  
Horizontal: 0.13", Wrap Around

Page 38: [87] Formatted mralely 7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM

Font: 10 pt

Page 38: [88] Formatted mralely 7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM

Position: Horizontal: Left, Relative to: Margin, Vertical: 0.15", Relative to: Paragraph,  
Horizontal: 0.13", Wrap Around

Page 38: [88] Formatted mralely 7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM

Page 38: [90] Formatted mralely 7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM

Position: Horizontal: Left, Relative to: Margin, Vertical: 0.15", Relative to: Paragraph,  
Horizontal: 0.13", Wrap Around

Page 38: [91] Formatted mralely 7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM

Font: 10 pt

Page 38: [92] Formatted mralely 7/19/2007 10:19:00 AM

Position: Horizontal: Left, Relative to: Margin, Vertical: 0.15", Relative to: Paragraph,  
Horizontal: 0.13", Wrap Around