

APPLICATION OF RATING CRITERIA

1) Project represents the following type of space:

	<u>Points</u>
• <u>Instruction, Library, Research, Infrastructure</u>	<u>30</u>
• <u>Academic Support</u>	<u>20</u>
• <u>Student Services</u>	<u>15</u>
• <u>Institutional Support</u>	<u>10</u>
• <u>Non-Educational & General (E&G)</u>	<u>0</u>

A) Points were assigned based on percentage of proposed use

B) If the project involves several buildings, or a multi-use E&G facility (including instruction), and the percentage of use is by category is unknown, 20 points were assigned.

2) Degree to which proposed project up to 25
addresses deferred maintenance needs
as defined and included in the joint CHE
and B& CB 1994 Study of Deferred
Maintenance, or other objective
documentation provided by the institution.

Staff used the 1994 study as a baseline for applying this criterion because it was the only consistent, objective, documentation available for all institutions. The Rating Committee will determine how the additional documentation provided by an institution will apply.

A) Points were assigned based on the scores in the 1994 Study:

<u>1994 Score</u>	<u>Points Assigned</u>
90-100	10
80-89	15
70-79	20
Less than 70	25
Multiple Buildings/Multiple Scores	20
Infrastructure (not separately addressed in 1994)	25
Project not addressed in 1994	0

3) Documentation that project is an academic

Up to 25

capital improvement project that addresses mission-related growth.

Points will be assigned according to growth in two areas –

FTE enrollment growth

up to 10

Research Expenditures

up to 15

and will be based on the five-year percentage increase in FTE enrollment and/or restricted research expenditures from fall 1996 to fall 2001.

For appropriate projects at research institutions, points would be assigned based on the growth in restricted research dollars (as reported for the MRR). Institutions would receive a prorated number of points based on their percentage increase. A decrease would receive a score of zero.

For appropriate projects at the teaching institutions and the two-year institutions, points would be assigned based on FTE enrollment growth, fall 2001 over fall 1996. Institutions would receive a prorated number of points based on their percentage increase. A decrease would receive a score of zero.

4) Documentation that all reasonable

Up to 10

alternatives to the project have been considered, that the project represents the best long-term resolution of the problem, and that the total estimated cost, including each component, can be documented as realistic.

A) Institutional/External documentation, and project has score of 80 or less in the 1994 study.

10

B) Project is infrastructure or mechanical repair/roof replacement (etc.)

10

C) Internal/External documentation, and project has score greater than 80,¹ or was not addressed in 1994 Study. (Assign 66% of available points, rounded up)

7

¹ If a facility was considered to be suitable for renovations of 20% or less of its replacement cost in the 1994 Study, and the current proposal recommends demolition or a significant alteration of the facility, a score of 7 is assigned.

	<u>POINTS</u>
6) Documentation that space programmed for the proposed project is based on the application of objective space planning guidelines.	up to 10
Institutional/External documentation provided Infrastructure/Repair/Replacement (mechanical, roofs, etc.)	10
Not addressed	0

Sub-Total Points **Up to 100**

7) EXTRA POINTS: up to 10

Documentation through external reports (CHE consultants, Institutional Consultants, specialized accrediting reports, CHE staff evaluation, etc.) that existing space is unsatisfactory and/or unsuitable in terms of quality or quantity because of health and/or safety concerns.

A) documented through external reports	10
B) documented by institution without external documentation (66% of available points, rounded up)	7
C) Not Applicable or Not Addressed	0

Total Possible Points **Up to 110**

Examples of Health and Safety Concerns:

Documented Health Concerns

Exposure to asbestos or; other harmful substances; documented problems assoc. with air quality; etc.

Documented Safety Concerns

Threat of physical danger assoc. with condition of facility; Life/Safety issues (egress, fire code compliance), etc.